BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF CARL J. MOORE, 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 616 5 ٧. FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW SPOKANE COUNTY AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, 8 Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged open burning violation; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 21st day of October, 1974, at Spokane, Washington; and appellant, Carl J. Moore, appearing pro se and respondent, Spokane County Air Pollution Control Authority, appearing through James P. Emacio, deputy prosecuting attorney; and Board member present at the hearing being Walt Woodward; and the Board having read the transcript, exhibits, records and files herein and arguments presented and having entered on the 22nd day of November, 1974, its proposed

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days 4 having elapsed from said service; and The Board having received exceptions to said proposed Findings, 5 Conclusions and Order from respondent, and having considered same and 6 7 denied respondent's exceptions; and the Board being fully advised in 8 the premises; now therefore, 9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order, dated the 22nd day of November, 10 11 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached hereto as 12 Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order herein. DATED this 15 day of Jan 20 , 1975. 14 15 16 17 18 WALT WOODWARD, Member 19 20 21 2223 24 25

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 CARL J. MOORE, 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 616 5 v. FINDINGS OF FACT. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 6 SPOKANE COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY, 7 Respondent. 8 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged open burning violation, originally came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer, and Chris Smith) in Spokane on September 11, 1974. Appellant was not present. Respondent was represented by its director, Fred Shiosaki, who moved for a continuance on the grounds that respondent had not received the Board's notice of hearing. The Board took the motion under advisement and heard respondent's testimony. Subsequently, the Board granted the motion and declared the September 11, 1974 testimony null and void.

EXHIBIT A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

This matter again came before the Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer) in the Spokane facility of the State Department of Labor and Industries on October 21, 1974.

Appellant appeared pro se and respondent through James P. Emacio, deputy prosecuting attorney. Gale Parrish, Spokane court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted. Arguments were made.

From testimony and arguments presented, exhibits examined and transcript reviewed, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent, pursuant to Section 5, chapter 69, Laws of 1974,
3rd Ex. Sess., has filed with this Board a certified copy of its
Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

II.

Section 6.01(5)(b) of respondent's Regulation I permits the open burning only of "dry garden trimmings, tree clippings, lawn rakings, dry leaves and needles" in certain areas only during periods designated by public notice of respondent.

III.

Respondent last winter issued a memorandum to the construction industry permitting small "warming" fires of clean, dry wood. Regulation I contains no mention of "warming" fires.

IV.

Appellant is a general contractor. On April 27, 1974, he, in the FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 2

company of his 17-year-old son, was completing the construction of a house at East 23614 Sprague, Spokane, Spokane County. The location was within the area of permissive household garden waste burning and April 27, 1974 was a day designated by respondent as approved for that type of open burning.

v.

The day began with warm temperature but turned colder with rain and then hall falling to a depth of one-half inch on the ground. To keep warm, appellant and his son built and ignited two fires, each about two feet in diameter composed of cedar shingles and dry board ends. The amount of waste lumber involved in the fires was a small amount of the total waste lumber from the house construction. Appellant testified it was his practice to have the waste lumber from a construction project hauled away; this was done about a month after the instant matter for the house being built at East 23614 Sprague.

VI.

In response to complaints received by respondent, an inspector on respondent's staff visited the instant site on April 27, 1974 and saw the two fires described above. He issued to appellant a field notice of violation and, subsequently, respondent served appellant with a notice of violation of Section 6.01 of Regulation I and imposed a \$50.00 civil penalty, which is the subject of this appeal.

VII.

Appellant and respondent's inspector engaged in a discussion on April 27, 1974. Appellant, irked at what he felt was an unjust citation in view of a large slash fire nearby, did not mention to respondent's

FINDINGS OF FACT,

 27°

inspector that the two fires were built solely for the purpose of warmth.

VIII.

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter cited which is deemed to be a Finding of Fact is adopted herewith as same.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

The Board believes appellant built the fires in question for the purpose of personal warmth on a chilly day and not for the purpose of disposing, by illegal means, of wood waste.

II.

Appellant was in technical violation of Section 6.01 of respondent's Regulation I as cited in the notice of violation described in Finding of Fact VI, but respondent's memorandum to contractors, permitting small "warming" fires in cold weather, appears to negate that technical violation.

III.

Any Finding of Fact herein stated which is deemed to be a Conclusion of Law is adopted herewith as same.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this

ORDER

The appeal is sustained and the instant civil penalty of \$50.00 is cancelled.

FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1	DONE at Lacey, Washington this $\frac{22^{n'}}{2^{n'}}$ day of $\frac{1}{2^{n'}}$, 1974
2	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
3	Walt Woodward
4	WALT WOODWARD, Charrman
5	
6	W. A. GISSBERG, Member
7	Can Smile
8	CHRIS SMITH, Member
9	
10	
11	
12	
. 3	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER